

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/600,041 06/20		6/20/2003 George Gutman		2088	6393	
24963	7590	07/11/2006		EXAMINER		
	CONVERSION ON THE CONVERSION OF THE CONVERSION O	ON DEVICES, I	VARGOT, MATHIEU D			
	ER HILLS, M		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	ŕ			1732		
				DATE MAILED: 07/11/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	Application No. Application No.		Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Cumment	10/600,04	11	GUTMAN ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Mathieu D		1732						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the period by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THE FR 1.136(a). In no even on the control of the contro	IIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tim Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	24 April 2006.								
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.									
′=										
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.								
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docur)-(d) or (f).						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage									
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
Attachmen										
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94)	81	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da							
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		O-152)					

Art Unit: 1732

1.The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese Kokai 2-182,433 in view of Japanese document 8-221,812 and either of Rowland or Hannan et al for reasons of record.

2.Applicant's arguments filed April 24, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant submits that Japanese –812 does not show making a hole in a web and that it cannot be combined with the primary reference. Such is not persuasive. First of all, the injection step provides a full cavity of melted resin in Japanese –812 and the punch moves the resin in the hole (delimited by part numerals 53 and 102) out of the cavity and into the nozzle 91. Hence, the reference does indeed teach using a punch 80 to make a hole in what would constitute a web of molten material during the embossing thereof by stamper 100. See Figure 9 of Japanese –812. The instant claims require no more than this. Also, bodily incorporation is not necessary when determining obviousness. While structure of Japanese –812 may not be capable of physical incorporation into the stamper of Japanese –433, such would not obviate the finding of obviousness. It is well known to make center holes in digital disks and one of ordinary skill in the art would have known such from Japanese –812.

Art Unit: 1732

3.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mathieu D. Vargot whose telephone number is 571 272-1211. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on 571 272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,041 Page 4

Art Unit: 1732

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Vargot July 6, 2006 Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner Art Unit 1732

7/6/06